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- Reading: Sipser, §2.2.


## Another example of a CFG (with proof)

- $L=\left\{x \in\{a, b\}^{*}: x\right.$ has the same \# of $a$ 's and $b$ 's $\}$.


## More examples of CFGs

- Arithmetic Expressions
$G_{1}$ :

$$
E \rightarrow x|y| E * E|E+E|(E)
$$

$G_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \rightarrow T \mid E+T \\
& T \rightarrow T * F \mid F \\
& F \rightarrow(E)|x| y
\end{aligned}
$$

Q: Which is "preferable"? Why?

## Parse Trees

Derivations in a CFG can be represented by parse trees.
Examples:

Each parse tree corresponds to many derivations, but has unique leftmost derivation.

## Parsing

Parsing: Given $x \in L(G)$, produce a parse tree for $x$. (Used to 'interpret' $x$. Compilers parse, rather than merely recognize, so they can assign semantics to expressions in the source language.)

Ambiguity: A grammar is ambiguous if some string has two parse trees.

## Example:

## Context-free Grammars and Automata

What is the fourth term in the analogy:

Regular Languages : Finite Automata
as
Context-free Languages : ???

## Regular Grammars

Hint: There is a special kind of CFGs, the regular grammars, that generate exactly the regular languages.
A CFG is (right-)regular if any occurrence of a nonterminal on the RHS of a rule is as the rightmost symbol.

## Turning a DFA into an equivalent Regular Grammar

- Variables are states.
- Transition $\delta(P, \sigma)=R \quad(P-T$
becomes $P \rightarrow \sigma R$
- If $P$ is accepting, add rule $P \rightarrow \varepsilon$


## Regular Grammars (cont.)

Example of DFA $\Rightarrow$ Regular Grammars:
$\{x: x$ has an even \# of $a$ 's and an even \# of $b$ 's $\}$.

Other Direction: Omitted.

## Context-free Grammars and Automata

What is the fourth term in the analogy:

Regular Languages : Finite Automata
as
Context-free Languages : ???

## Sheila Greibach, AB Radcliffe '60 summa cum laude

## Inverses of Phrase Structure Generators

Harvard PhD Thesis, 1963


## Pushdown Automata

= Finite automaton + "pushdown store"

- The pushdown store is a stack of symbols of unlimited size which the machine can read and alter only at the top.

Input | $a$ | $b$ | $b$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Transitions of PDA are of form $(q, \sigma, \gamma) \mapsto\left(q^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, which means:
If in state $q$ with $\sigma$ on the input tape and $\gamma$ on top of the stack, replace $\gamma$ by $\gamma^{\prime}$ on the stack and enter state $q^{\prime}$ while advancing the reading head over $\sigma$.
(Nondeterministic) PDA for "even palindromes"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{w w^{R}: w \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\} \\
& (q, a, \varepsilon) \mapsto(q, a) \quad \text { Push } a \text { 's } \\
& (q, b, \varepsilon) \mapsto(q, b) \quad \text { and } b \text { 's } \\
& (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \mapsto(r, \varepsilon) \quad \text { switch to other state } \\
& (r, a, a) \mapsto(r, \varepsilon) \quad \text { pop } a \text { 's matching input } \\
& (r, b, b) \mapsto(r, \varepsilon) \quad \text { pop } b \text { 's matching input }
\end{aligned}
$$

So the precondition $(q, \sigma, \gamma)$ means that

- the next $|\sigma|$ symbols (0 or 1 ) of the input are $\sigma$ and
- the top $|\gamma|$ symbols (0 or 1 ) on the stack are $\gamma$


## (Nondeterministic) PDA for "even palindromes"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{w w^{R}: w \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\} \\
& (q, a, \varepsilon) \mapsto(q, a) \quad \text { Push } a \text { 's } \\
& (q, b, \varepsilon) \mapsto(q, b) \quad \text { and } b \text { 's } \\
& (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \mapsto(r, \varepsilon) \quad \text { switch to other state } \\
& (r, a, a) \mapsto(r, \varepsilon) \quad \text { pop } a \text { 's matching input } \\
& (r, b, b) \mapsto(r, \varepsilon) \quad \text { pop } b \text { 's matching input }
\end{aligned}
$$

Need to test whether stack empty: push $\$$ at beginning and check at end.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q_{0}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon\right) \mapsto(q, \$) \\
& (r, \varepsilon, \$) \mapsto\left(q_{f}, \varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Language recognition with PDAs

A PDA accepts an input string
If there is a computation that starts

- in the start state
- with reading head at the beginning of string
- and the stack is empty and ends
- in a final state
- with all the input consumed

A PDA computation becomes "blocked" (i.e. "dies") if

- no transition matches both the input and stack


## Formal Definition of a PDA

- $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$
$Q=$ states
$\Sigma=$ input alphabet
$\Gamma=$ stack alphabet
$\delta=$ transition function

$$
Q \times(\Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\}) \times(\Gamma \cup\{\varepsilon\}) \rightarrow P(Q \times(\Gamma \cup\{\varepsilon\})) .
$$

$q_{0}=$ start state
$F=$ final states

## Computation by a PDA

- $M$ accepts $w$ if we can write $w=w_{1} \cdots w_{m}$, where each $w_{i} \in \Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\}$, and there is a sequence of states $r_{0}, \ldots, r_{m}$ and stack strings $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{m} \in \Gamma^{*}$ that satisfy

1. $r_{0}=q_{0}$ and $s_{0}=\varepsilon$.
2. For each $i,\left(r_{i+1}, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \in \delta\left(r_{i}, w_{i+1}, \gamma\right)$ where $s_{i}=\gamma t$ and $s_{i+1}=\gamma^{\prime} t$ for some $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma \cup\{\varepsilon\}$ and $t \in \Gamma^{*}$.
3. $r_{m} \in F$.

- $L(M)=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*}: M\right.$ accepts $\left.w\right\}$.

PDA for $\left\{w \in\{a, b\}^{*}: \#_{a}(w)=\#_{b}(w)\right\}$

