# CS 121 Section 4

Harvard University

October 4 & 5, 2012

## 1 Concept Review

#### 1.1 Context Free Grammars

A context-free grammar G is a four-tuple,  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , defined as follows:

- V is the set of variables
- $\Sigma$  is the set of terminals, and so must be disjoint from V
- R is a finite set of rules, where each rule consists of a variable transforming into a string of variables and terminals
- S is the start symbol, and is an element of V

The idea is that the grammar consists of all strings over  $\Sigma^*$ , our terminal symbols, which we can get by starting with S and following the rules. The process of moving from S to a final string of terminals is known as a *derivation*.

#### **1.2** Derivations

If x, y, and z are strings of variables and terminals and  $A \to y$  is a rule of the grammar, then we can write  $xAz \Rightarrow xyz$  and say xAz yields xyz in one step.

Extending that idea, if  $x_1$  and  $x_n$  are strings of variables and terminals then we can say  $x_1 \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x_n$ , or  $x_1$  derives  $x_n$ , if we can get from  $x_1$  to  $x_n$  by following 0 or more rules in succession. More formally,  $x_1 \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x_n$  if  $x_1 = x_n$  or there is a sequence  $x_1, x_2 \dots x_n$  such that for all  $i, x_i \Rightarrow x_{i+1}$ . In practice, we often aren't very careful about distinguishing between 'derive' and 'yield', and it is ok to use them interchangeably.

The language of a grammar G is then defined as  $L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* : S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w \}$ 

A derivation for a string w in a grammar G is any series of strings  $S \Rightarrow x_1 \cdots \Rightarrow w$  that show how to get w from the rules of the grammar. A leftmost derivation for a string is a derivation where in each step, the leftmost variable in the string is substituted. A grammar is said to be ambiguous if there exists a string in the language of the grammar which has two different leftmost derivations. We often visualize derivations using parse trees.

### 2 Exercises

Exercise 2.1. Show that the following languages are context-free:

- 1.  $L = \{a^i b^j c^k : i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}, and if i = 1 then j \ge k\}$  over  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ ;
- 2.  $L = \{w : w = w^R\};$
- 3. The set of syntactically valid fully-parenthesized boolean expressions consisting of TRUE, FALSE, and, or, not, (, and ) that evaluate to true.

**Exercise 2.2.** Let  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  be the following grammar.

$$S \rightarrow AS \| \varepsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow A1 \| 0A1 \| \varepsilon$$

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$

$$V = \{A, S\}$$

- 1. Show that G is ambiguous.
- 2. Give a new grammar that generates the same language as G but is unambiguous. Justify briefly why your grammar generates the same language and why it is unambiguous.

**Exercise 2.3.** Consider the following grammar:

$$\begin{split} S &\to \langle SUBJECT \rangle \langle VERB \rangle \langle OBJECT \rangle \langle MODIFIER \rangle \\ \langle SUBJECT \rangle &\to The \ woman \\ \langle VERB \rangle &\to hit \\ \langle OBJECT \rangle &\to the \ man \ \langle MODIFIER \rangle \\ \langle MODIFIER \rangle &\to with \ an \ umbrella \ | \ \varepsilon \\ Show \ that \ this \ grammar \ is \ ambiguous. \end{split}$$

**Exercise 2.4.** Show that every regular language has an unambiguous context-free grammar.

**Exercise 2.5.** Given an arbitrary context free grammar G, provide a general procedure to determine if L(G) is empty.